THE SERVICE OF FAITH IN EAST ASIA

DIRECTIONS IN MISSION

by

Robert Hardawiryana, S.J.

INTRODUCTION

1. The 32nd General Congregation asked each Province or group of Provinces to undertake a process of reflection and evaluation inspired by the Ignatian tradition of spiritual discernment, which would lead finally to more effective apostolic commitments. Indeed, we need a deep awareness of the gravity and extension of the problems of East Asia, its deeply rooted quest for God and for integral human development. We all feel the urge of responding to these challenges.

   This awareness, attained in a climate of prayer and spiritual discernment, should help us to free ourselves from all obstacles, internal and external, from all conditionings, personal, collective and institutional, affecting our very perception of reality and consequently our apostolic options. Hopefully we also will find the courage to act in conformity with the demands of our mission and to disengage ourselves from all dependencies and involvements with regard to ideologies, social systems and power structures; things that often, if they do not nullify, at least diminish our apostolic mobility and freedom.

The Society cannot leave completely to the discretion of individual members and Provinces the task of determining their apostolic vocation in East Asia. Neither can our Provinces entrust to the sole initiative of small communities, groups or isolated individuals, the interpretation of our mission in terms of concrete works and activities. There is need for a collective effort of reflection, a constant evaluation and revision of
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our commitments and ministries. And this at the level not only of each Province or each country but also at the Assistancy level.

What provides us with the basis for a religious and apostolic renewal in depth is the service of faith. Particularly in our countries this service demands as one of its main requirements the promotion of justice in the context of total human development. This unifying element is also the criterion for evaluating all our apostolic efforts and ministries in the fields of education, pastoral care, social action and social communication.

2. Since the Society was founded to labor for Christ our Lord and the Church His Bride, as a priestly Order it shares in the ministry of the Church. But it also has a special responsibility for service to the Church in preserving and confirming the communion of her faith.

Hence, while we wish eventually to arrive at a concrete programme proposal, at “existential applications”, it is not ours alone as “the Jesuits’ East Asian Assistancy” to define our contemporary mission. We may not act as though we were a self-enclosed unit within the Church. It rather must be the mission of the Church as a whole, which we take part in. Therefore, it should be clear that we cannot alone compose our concrete programme. Not only have we to situate our mission within the East Asian context; we also have to integrate our apostolic activities into the mission of the East Asian Churches.

We cannot honestly draw up a concrete apostolic programme without at least consulting other “agents” of our common mission as Church (religious as well as lay people), and particularly without knowing what actually the East Asian Bishops’ Conferences wish us to contribute to the building up of their local Churches.

It is of vital importance right from the beginning of this conference to question ourselves: how do we in this respect of integration understand our sentire cum Ecclesia? To what extent, for instance, do we take into account the actual concerns of the Federation of Asian Bishops’ Conferences, and enter into consultation with the hierarchy of our local Churches? Are we convinced that this integration is an integral aspect of our contribution to the “inculturation” of Christian faith in our regions, to which Father General Pedro Arrupe in his concluding address alluded as “somewhat new in the history of the General Congregations”? It was fine that “true ‘inculturation’ already began in the Congregation itself”, as an experience that was lived. But do we wish to be consistent and to pursue this inculturation down to its ultimate consequences?

3. Without intending to be complete, we will reflect upon our mission in East Asia in four stages:

Part I is no more than an attempt to give a summary description of the actual life situation in East Asia, particularly from the socio-
economic and cultural points of view: poverty and even deprivation, the needs and aspirations of our peoples, prospects for their future, and, finally, the stance taken by the local Churches in various countries.

Part II on the Church in East Asia confronts us with reflections especially of our Bishops presented at the Bishops’ Synod of 1974: what is the actual situation and the role of the Churches? what are their main concerns? what about their dialogue with our separated Christian brethren and with adherents of other living faiths and religious traditions, specifically with regard to human development? what about our solidarity with the poor? The purpose of this Part is to direct our reflections towards what we as Jesuits eventually would have to be, how we would concretely understand our apostolic mission as well integrated into the actual life of the Churches.

Part III presents an attempt at theological reflection based upon the mystery of Incarnation: the meaning of salvation in history and Christ’s role in the process of the development of man; the urgent need of an intimate knowledge and experience of the problems of faith and justice in our countries. How are we to understand — based on the mystery of the Incarnation — the dialogue of the Church with human society and especially with the poor, and the building up of the particular Churches in Asia?

Part IV, finally, will lead us hopefully to some more practical considerations: as Jesuits how would we have to face the challenges of contemporary East Asia, in order to build up a more just (or at least a less unjust) society, not merely at the international but also at the local level, as priests and as religious, so that our activities on behalf of human development, and specifically in promoting justice, truly become service to faith, apostolate, evangelization?

4. As to the decrees of the 32nd General Congregation, particularly the decree on “Our Mission Today”, we can suppose that all of you have studied them thoroughly, so that not even a summary is needed to recall their content.

The decree on our mission concludes with an appeal for international cooperation, necessary to meet the major issues of our time which often have an international dimension; vital also to answer better the demands of apostolic availability and mobility inherent in our vocation, at the service of the universal mission of the entire People of God.9

Such a collaboration at least at the level of our Assistancy is what we now intend to achieve.

However, we are all aware of the immense plurality of nations, religions, cultures in East Asia. And even the existential content of terms such as “justice”, “injustice”, “faith”, “unbelief”, “development”,
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“liberation”, etc., in our region will reveal as great a variety. It is good to keep this in mind from the very outset of our reflection, so that, if ever we arrive at some “common practical applications”, we shall always acknowledge the need of relativising them and the task of each Province to elaborate them into further details.

PART I: THE ACTUAL LIFE SITUATION IN EAST ASIA

5. Since the Federation of the Asian Bishops’ Conferences (FABC) in its First Plenary Assembly in Taipei, April 22-27, 1974, had expressed its heartfelt concern for the situation of modern-day Asia, “marked by swift and far-reaching transformation, a continent undergoing modernization and profound social change, along with secularization and the break-up of traditional societies”, and had spoken of “destructive forces” to be overcome, and of liberation from “structures which have created new forms of bondage”, the problems of integral human development and particularly of justice and faith have gained Asian-wide interest. Just to mention a few important events:

a) The First Bishops’ Institute for Social Action (BISA I) was held in Manila even before the Taipei Assembly. BISA II was held in Tokyo on the theme: “the social dimensions of the Gospel”, and BISA III in Kuala Lumpur again on the theme: “the social dimensions of the Gospel”.

b) A Seminar on “Religion and Development” was held Baguio City, August 31-September 20, 1975, at which Malaysia, Indonesia, Thailand, Belgium and the Philippines were represented.

c) After our Conference there will be held in November 1976 an Asian Conference on “Religion and Peace” in Singapore, prepared already by its International Preparatory Committee at its meeting in Singapore, February 27-29, 1976, in order to promote a creative and critical awareness of the Asian situation.

6. It is not easy not to repeat well-known generalities. Neither is it possible nor is it our task right now to give a very detailed picture of the East Asian scene, because of a terrible lack of data and accurate documentation on injustice in our countries. We may expect to be provided with some of the necessary information on the first day of our meeting, particularly with regard to existential concepts of justice in Asia.

Approaching the problem of evangelization in an existential and inductive way and analyzing the main current trends in Asia, D. S. Amalorpavadass discerns these challenges to be faced by Christians in their common mission of evangelization: the numbers of Asia’s population, pluralism, development and the process of progressive liberation, religions, youth, Marxism and other ideologies, and revolution (whether violent or non-violent).
It is, for instance, customary to say that the 20% or 30% of that part of mankind living in the developed countries now dispose of some 80% or more of the world’s resources, imported from the underdeveloped countries where now two-thirds, and soon a very much greater portion, of all people live. The small minority of people in developed countries appropriate and use for their own production and consumption an entirely disproportionate and steadily increasing part of the world’s resources. One broad inference is that any hope that the living levels in underdeveloped countries would ever approach those in developed countries presupposes a radical increase of their use of irreplaceable resources.

BISA III’s analysis of the social reality in Asia has shown “the vast dimensions of hunger, disease, malnutrition and unemployment”, and also “how millions of our Asian brethren are living below the poverty line.”

Another characteristic is that our countries have been isolated from one another by rival colonial powers, and that for centuries. Their economies are not complementary but competitive, geared to the export of the same agricultural raw materials. And they are still in process of discovering that they need each other more than they need their former masters of the developed West. Actually, poor as they are, they are not too poor to help each other.

To refer to the well-known distinction of Pope Paul VI: we live amidst two sorts of violence:

a) The violence of the “herodian” oppressors: the privileged minorities use violence upon the poor, the people who form the great majority of the human race, practically by-passing the great majority of the people, in most cases abandoning them to a level below human dignity, and at times exploiting them.

b) The violence imposed by the developed world on the underdeveloped world:

“The rich countries refuse to allow the poor nations to own and use whatever material resources they possess for their own interests, and in their own way.”

“The poor countries suffer from a new fever, a fever which was at first unnoticed, but is now felt as unbearable: an awareness of the economic and social imbalance which separates them from, and humiliates them when set side by side with more prosperous nations.”

In the last 30 years, and especially in the last decade, changes have taken place which have been so rapid and radical that they can only be called revolutionary: demographic, political and our parti-
cular concern, the social and economic. Apart from the grave problems in most countries already mentioned, countries in North Asia—China, North Korea, Tibet, Vietnam and Outer Mongolia—now have Marxist-based socialist regimes with centrally-organized, state-controlled economies. Whereas in many countries injustice by being institutionalized dominates the whole of the peoples’ lives, in North Asia economic justice is almost within their grasp; which makes Marxism the more attractive, although the people have yet to acquire their full political rights.

7. Actually “the decade of development” has proved rather to be a “decade of failure.” It would appear that due to the present economic systems the disparities between the rich and the poor may not only continue but even widen, so that some of the poor may become still poorer with the years. “We have come to realize the enormous social sin reflected through this injustice, oppression and poverty.” The last ten years have seen the gap between the rich and poor countries actually widen instead of narrow. When it has been estimated that 80% of the earth’s wealth is concentrated in the hands of 20%, within ten years’ time the figure will be 90% belonging to 10%. Not only is East Asia overpopulated; it is also condemned to suffer more and more from the unequal distribution of the world’s resources.

Worse than any form of personal injustice is the institutionalized injustice, “built into economic, social, and political structures that dominate the life of nations and the international community.”

More than ever is true what Gaudium et Spes says:

“Again, we are at a moment in history when the development of economic life could diminish social inequalities if that development were guided and coordinated in a reasonable and human way. Yet all too often it serves only to intensify the inequalities. In some places it even results in a decline in the social status of the weak and in contempt for the poor.

While an enormous mass of people still lack the absolute necessities of life, some, even in less advanced countries, live sumptuously or squander wealth . . . While the few enjoy very great freedom of choice, the many are deprived of almost all possibility of acting on their own initiative and responsibility, and often subsist in living and working conditions unworthy of human beings.” (art. 63).

All our countries, with the possible exception of Singapore, and Japan of course, are economically underdeveloped. It can even be argued that instead of “developing” they are in fact “underdeveloping”.
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The very process of "development" is reinforcing the subjection of the agrarian masses to the socio-economic, technological, military elites, that compose the "advance" sector of development, and is increasing, as we just said, the dependence of Asia on the developed world.

"Development" is either non-existent or paradoxically counter-productive: perpetuating and propagating poverty rather than relieving it. The reason is that that poverty in East Asia is not simply poverty but rather deprivation of the means to improve the quality of life, which are Asians’ by right. Development, to be truly such, must begin with — or in any cases must bring about — a restoration of rights, that is, the removal of the economic, social, and political constraints which prevent their effective exercise. There can be no development without justice.

The decree on the "Union of Minds and Hearts" points out a deeper reality, a more profound need:

"The material conditions of our world — a world of sharply contrasted affluence and misery — and the spiritual climate engendered by them, tend to produce in our contemporaries an inner emptiness, a sense of the absence of God. The expressions, signs, and symbols of God’s presence which reassured men in the past do not seem to be able to fill the present emptiness . . .

In the meantime, we ourselves are sometimes plunged in this climate of emptiness; and so it is crucial for us somehow to regain that continual familiarity with God in both prayer and action. . . ." (n. 7).

8. Within this context of the East Asian reality this is a serious question indeed: will the great religions and the centuries-old traditional beliefs be able to cope with all those revolutionary changes, social, economic, political, cultural? with the waves of technological modernization sweeping over our nations? with the process of secularization ever more influencing and even causing a breakdown of traditional structures and patterns of life? do they even try to keep pace with the accelerating process of development?

Already in 1964 A.T. van Leeuwen, in his book Christianity in World History, explained how the great Eastern religions are going through a process, still in the early stages, of disintegration, partly as a consequence of technology and secularism.23

Besides, there is no denying the attractiveness of Mao Tse Tung’s China. Acutely aware of the need for rapid and radical changes, young people especially are turning to this country. Before 1949 China was not unlike many Asian countries today: poor, weak and dis-
organized. Today it is a power to be respected, rapidly developing technologically and economically, culturally and communally. Although the Chinese people do not enjoy full political liberty — their political leaders are not elected — a totalitarian regime has enabled them to overthrow a corrupt centuries-old system. Mao’s call to rise up and march forward finds a ready response from the young, who will not be satisfied with a revolution limited by national frontiers but will work for a world revolution as the only way of ensuring a fair distribution of the world’s resources.

The Marxist attitude towards religion has changed greatly since 1917 and 1949. The problem of the Vietnamese Christians, for instance, is to know whether or not they can, without after-thought, collaborate in the building of a socialist Vietnam under the direction of a Marxist government. It is not apparent that in their minds this attitude is reconcilable with what they consider to be the good of the nation, and above all with their understanding of the Christian faith. On the other side, the new communist government can hold suspect the loyalty of people who were the most adamant adversaries of the North.

The Christians of South Vietnam are therefore faced with the same problems which confronted the Christians of China after 1949, North Korea since 1950, and North Vietnam since 1954. All these Christians could not count on help from the Churches of other countries. At the most they were encouraged to resist, or even to face martyrdom. Furthermore, the leaders of these Christians were not prepared to cooperate with the new regimes, especially at the beginning. In China this even led to a sort of schism when some Christians chose bishops for themselves without Rome’s approval.21

Many Christians of the Far East have experienced personally, or through their friends and relatives, besides the attractive elements of Communism (deriving from its Judaeo-Christian prophetic inspiration), also its negative elements (the use of any means for obtaining ends, the disregard of truth and promises, the reliance on violence and hatred, the whittling away of the relation connecting each individual man in his conscience with the personal Absolute, etc.)

Christians must keep their lucidity when dealing with Communism. And while being stimulated to a greater fervor in the service of Christ by the example of the dedication of many Communists to their cause, Christians must not close their eyes to objective truth and to objective facts and must recall to memory the violation of basic human rights — mainly the right of free exercise of religion — inflicted on so many of their brothers living under Communist rule.25

9. Analyzing the dualism of affluence and misery, of the dominant and the dominated, of religion on one side and life very little influenced by religion on the other; the dualism of capitalism and
communism, each trying to win Asian countries to itself, with the resultant conflicts; the dualism of totalitarian and democratic tendencies; the fact of growing secularism alongside religious traditions which remain strong; openness to spiritual values alongside practical atheism; the dualism of courage, idealism and willingness to take risks on the one hand, and discontent, selfishness and racialism of different shades on the other; and other phenomena of Asian life, BISA III arrived at the following diagnosis of the Asian scene:

a) an inability to open up to “the other in what is different in race, religion, culture, etc.” There are gaps in our societies, walls in our minds and hearts which fragment the human family;

b) an inability to put personal values in the center. Things and systems seem to dominate;

c) a lack of a wider vision of life, of a sense of meaning and direction. Even when religion has a goal, there is much passivity, an inability to involve ourselves in the problems of history;

d) an insufficient capacity for solidarity. Some barriers are created by society, but also we have a one-sided approach instead of a comprehensive approach: the earth, for instance, is to be approached as a friend, to be enjoyed and loved and contemplated, and not just mastered and used.26

At BISA II Bishop J. X. Labayen distinguished two signs of the times, the repercussions of which are many and felt alike by technologists, youth, theological and ecclesiastical structures of thought and life, and by ideological systems themselves, which sense their inadequacy to build a new man:

a) secularization, which, even though backed by the Christian world view, yet raises the question whether man will organize a world apart from or open to God.

b) the struggle for justice and community, for liberation from dehumanizing structures, systems and ideologies towards a more just and human community.27

Indeed, underlying these often disheartening facts we encounter the deeper aspirations of East Asian man, the birth of a new civilization, man striving for mastery of his own destiny, for freedom from all forms of oppression and servitude, whether these arise from the natural order or from some political or social order. Asia wants to be free

“from the structures which imprison and warp both those who oppress and those who are oppressed; from the idolatry of ideological and social systems . . . ; from the phenomenon of faceless men and women who manipulate societies without having to be accountable; from the loss of purpose in work,
leisure or social relations; from the violation of human rights in all our countries; from the paralysis of recurrent world monetary crises and uncontrollable inflation . . . ; from the resulting apathy, cynicism, alienation, despair and senseless violence.28

In such a situation, a mixture of hope and anxiety, collective solutions are required. And the answers will come not from technology but from the meaning men give to their lives.29

10. It will be helpful to have a quick glance at the position of the Church, at least in its most important aspects. This short overall view already raises questions as to how we as members of the Society within the Church in East Asia have carried out our mission so far.

There is no denying the very real sacrifices the Church has made down the centuries, nor the great good work she has accomplished.

Yet we are challenged, for instance, to question ourselves whether our preaching of the Word actually has had anything to do with the exploitation of the people by the local elite and foreign powers? How vigorously did we fight against immorality, even though we were theoretically opposed to it? What sort of education did our “elitist” schools give, keeping in mind the conditions of injustice and inequality in our countries? How did the numerous and indispensable works of Christian charity help to bring about a social situation opposing the forces of liberation?30

To what extent is it true that the Church today is still out of touch with the changes which have taken place in recent years? Has not the Church at times sided with the status quo, providing a bastion of uncritical anti-communism, unable still to see both the positive and the negative aspects of Asian communism, and seemingly ignorant of the need for calm analysis of the social and economic situation? Is it not true also that Christian communities have failed to appreciate the ambivalent character of their silence which has sometimes made them accomplices in the worst injustices?

Have we not observed how our institutions and services have often projected images of riches and even alienation from the poor? With the help of our resource personnel, we have seen that many of our Church institutions designed to help the poor not only do not do so but may in fact have unintended effects against their interests. They are caught as it were in the unjust social systems and structures of our age.31

Therefore, BISA III wished to commit itself to a critical evaluation of the activities of the Church, and even to make scientific studies with technical help. It wanted to take the steps to refashion and renew
the Church, so that she could be a true servant in the cause of social justice. It felt the need — in the cases where such remedial measures are ineffective — to be ready even to abandon old works and to take on new forms of apostolate in the search for social justice.82

To help us to be more realistic, the Baguio City seminar on “Religion and Development” identified some common obstacles which are met by those working for the fulfilment of the Church’s role in development.

First of all, people who opt to help fight for the rights of the oppressed often do not get support from the institutional Church. Added to this would be the conflict in bishop-priests relationships. As a result of the Church’s institutionalization or segregation from the greater majority of the people, many bishops and priests still do not recognize the need to make the people the embodiment of Christ’s Church on earth. The institutional Church as such should not be isolated in her mission of working for the people. Her existence as an already existing structure which commands strength cannot be ignored. Her existence must be utilized and her orientation redirected, so that she will eventually be instrumental towards the promotion of genuine human development.83

It is worth recalling at this very moment what was stressed repeatedly during the Synod of 1974, namely, that in order to evangelize others we must evangelize ourselves.84

PART TWO: THE ROLE OF THE CHURCH IN EAST ASIA

11. We have described in Part I the East Asian scene considered especially from the cultural and socio-economic points of view: the misery and deprivation of millions in underdeveloped countries, their aspirations for freedom and prosperity, the prospects for their future, the contemporary situation of their religious beliefs and traditions in confrontation with communism in its various forms, the main trends underlying the facts, and finally the position of the Church in our countries. Ultimately, what the 32nd General Congregation says in its decree on “Our Mission Today” applies also with some modification to our situation: “Everywhere, if we only knew how to look for it, we can see that men are searching for an experience of Christ and of his Kingdom of justice, love, and peace.”85

Since — according to this decree — those expectations are clearly echoed in the last two Synods of Bishops on “Justice in the World” and “Evangelization in the Modern World”, and “their mirroring of those expectations enable us to determine what form our witness and our mission must take today,”86 we are now going to reflect on the Church in East Asia, more specifically viewed in the light of what our Bishops contributed at the Synods, and against the back-
ground of what FABC has offered so far by means of its Office for Human Development and in other ways on behalf of human development and belief in God.

12. The report on Asia,37 presented to the Synod of 1974 by Cardinal J. Cordeiro of Karachi, begins with the obvious statement,—for us, however, a very important one to keep in mind now that we are thinking of drawing up a more “comprehensive” programme of apostolate! — that it is not easy even to give the common characteristics of the Churches in Asia, except maybe some few of them.

With regard to evangelization, however, they seem to have the following problems in common:

a) the “de-westernization” of the Church and the “incarnation” of Christian faith into our local cultures, in dialogue with other living faiths and religious beliefs;

b) the emphasis on spiritual life and contemplation. While facing the political, social and economic revolution, deep down in its heart the Asian society in general remains “religious” through the centuries;38

c) our commitment to human development and liberation should be the inner constitutive dimension of evangelization.

Particularly in view of our service of faith as Jesuits, more specifically the following points of the Cardinal’s report need to be reflected upon:

a) Compared with the situation of evangelization during the period of colonialism, the socio-political situation—as already described in Part I—has radically changed. Several episcopal conferences mentioned that certain remnants of that period are still obstacles to the service of faith; namely, in so far as they obstruct the particular Churches in finding their own way and to the extent that they have imprinted upon Church life a foreign external appearance.39 Therefore, in evangelization the building up of the local Churches merits priority.40

b) Small minorities that generally we are in East Asia when compared with the numbers of Buddhists, Hindus, Muslims and adherents of other beliefs,41 we urgently need to be in constant dialogue with these living faiths. However, neither the positive elements found in them, nor the truth that is in them, nor their rightful place in the divine Plan of Salvation,42 absolves us from the obligation to preach at the right time Christ crucified.43

Service to faith in Asia is a difficult way of life, not only because the overwhelming percentage of its population adheres to other religions and traditional beliefs, but also because in many countries these religions have a very privileged position, since they are supported by their governments and sometimes are even “state religions”.
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The climate of dialogue between Christians and Muslims is improving in South East Asia. The Hong Kong Consultation can be seen as a sign of progress and hope. The number of priests and religious from these various countries who are specialising in Islamic Studies is also on the increase.44

c) Moreover, these religions — actually as much as Christianity is itself — are affected by the at times revolutionary socio-cultural changes and, especially in urban and industrialized centres, by the waves of modernization and secularization.45 This can be an advantage but can be an obstacle to service of faith as well. We have to bear in mind that in many instances traditional religious beliefs and religions have proved to be unable really to cope with secularizing tendencies, so that sometimes the result is some sort of “atheism”.

d) The causes of changes in Asian society, namely, secularization and industrialization, are rather recent. They may mean improved welfare — actually mostly for a rather very small group; for the masses prosperity still remains a far-fetched dream! But they also may lead towards alienation from God, to injustice and poverty, which are all mutually connected.

For this reason many episcopal conferences stressed the necessity of evangelizing the whole man. Otherwise the result will be a dualism — if not a separation — between doctrine and life, between “the Gospel” and its daily implementation. Again, this dichotomy will be an obstacle not only to evangelization but also, more generally speaking, to the very credibility of the Church.46

The history of our countries should henceforth be built up through our decisions and choices and the quality of society we create. We become more human or less human according to the quality and direction of the history and society we build.47

Furthermore, the more the particular Church is conscious of herself being part of human society, the more she is responsible for preaching the Gospel to others.

For our service of faith it is important that we dwell upon this historical character of our faith. For many religions have shown (and still show) a tendency to move away from life and its harsh realities into realms of ritual worship and abstract spiritualities. Without in any way denying the primary importance of the eschatological, “vertical,” meaning of salvation, its anthropological, “horizontal”, socio-cultural and historic meanings must be attended to as well.

e) Mindful of that “spiritualistic” tendency of many Asian religions and beliefs, we must therefore attend also to the renewal of catechetics, in order to overcome the dichotomy between faith and life and to avoid a presentation of faith which often has been “conceptual”. In renewing our liturgy — an important aspect of religion in our coun-
tries—we will remember that the purpose of the liturgy is not to escape from life's hard realities or to withdraw into a private religious sphere but to worship the Father as a community of faith in Christ Who precisely has become a sharer in our lives. When in the risen Lord we worship the Father, hope for mankind and a more humanized future becomes part of our life, and this hope will have to express itself in action.

Thus, the renewal of our preaching and our worship aims at confronting faith with actual life situations, and at making us ever more aware of the richness of salvation for our very life on earth.  

f) Despite modernization, in many regions we still find an urge towards prayer. We have to lay to heart what the Indian bishops so much stressed during the last Synod: if the Church wishes to be a sign of salvation, prayer, and especially contemplation, must be an essential aspect of her life, to be promoted for every Christian. Every Christian is called upon to experience the inhabitation of the Spirit in moments of silence and interiority and above all to share his experience of Christ with others.

The fullness of the Gospel thus can enrich and reshape all methods of preaching, which are excessively intellectual and verbal, and will touch every person very profoundly and effect true conversion of heart and mind.  

g) Besides the dichotomy between faith and daily life, there still is another obstacle to evangelization: the division between the Catholic Church and other Christian denominations, and also the division within the Church herself. The less the number of Christians, the more this division will prove to be disadvantageous.

The Asian episcopal conferences stressed the need of promoting ecumenism with full energy, but equally admitted that insufficient preparation of the faithful could be an obstacle to ecumenism itself. In carrying out our mission of serving faith by promoting human development we should bear in mind how necessary it is to engage ourselves in a continuous dialogue of life with our Christian brethren, by cooperating with their efforts for the cause of justice. We have also to be in a constant dialogue with peoples of other living faiths. As we will see later on, we cannot content ourselves with merely interchurch dialogue. Ecumenism is not merely an interecclesiastical affair. It has to be for the sake of evangelization, for the sake of service to humanity.

h) The bishops fully recognize the value of the mass media. Mostly our handicaps will be lack of equipment and personnel and restrictions on the part of governments. It seems, however, that regional cooperation between episcopal conferences can help towards overcoming these difficulties.
Thus also the 32nd General Congregation gives this guideline: that in order to bring about concerted action we need the mass media.\textsuperscript{58}

13. Reassumining the specific contribution of the bishops of the Third World during the Synod of 1974, Pope Paul VI in his apostolic exhortation \textit{Evangelii nuntiandi},\textsuperscript{54} December 8, 1975, again asserts that evangelization implies the explicit and ever-present message, appropriate to the different situations, of the rights and duties of each human person, of family life without which there can be hardly any progress of man,\textsuperscript{55} of human society, of the life of all nations, of peace and justice and development. It is the message of liberation.\textsuperscript{56}

Evangelization and human development are linked together in an intimate relationship: a) anthropologically: evangelization is directed to the human person with his social and economic problems; b) theologically: God's will in creating man cannot be separated from His salvific Design encompassing the restoration of justice by overcoming injustice; c) evangelically: the commandment of love can only be preached when the genuine development of man is being promoted in justice and peace.\textsuperscript{57}

Even for many generous Christians the role of the Church is often confined merely to the anthropological dimension; salvation is restricted to material prosperity. Evangelization, however, has a properly religious purpose. Its religious foundation is the Kingdom of God in its full theological sense.\textsuperscript{58} Human liberation according to the Gospel cannot be limited to the purely economical, political, social or scientific order. Liberation concerns the whole man in all aspects of his life, including his relationship to God.\textsuperscript{59}

In preaching liberation and declaring her solidarity with all who suffer, the Church does not wish her task restricted to the religious dimension, as if she were not concerned with human problems of the temporal order. On the other hand, the Church again stresses the priority of her religious task, and she never will abandon the preaching of the Kingdom for the proclamation of a merely human liberation. Her contribution towards liberation will never be complete and perfect unless she preaches salvation in Jesus Christ.\textsuperscript{60}

It is imperative that structures become more human, more just, more concerned with human rights, and less oppressive. But even the best structures will turn to be inhuman, unless the inhuman desires and inclinations of the human heart are cured by a genuine conversion of heart and mind of those who live within the structures or dominate them.\textsuperscript{61}

The Church urges more and more Christians to join their efforts in order to liberate others. She imparts to them the spirit and the guidance of faith, the motivation of fraternal love, and her social doctrine.\textsuperscript{62}
Legitimate liberation connected with evangelization, aiming at the building up of structures that defend human freedom, is inseparable from the defence of elementary human rights, and first of all, of freedom of religion.\textsuperscript{63}

In the Church, whose role is to be “a sign and a safeguard of the transcendence of the human person”,\textsuperscript{64} historically three tendencies have appeared, though often in a mingled and confused fashion: a) support of the status quo, consciously or unconsciously, directly or indirectly;\textsuperscript{65} b) indifference to political, economic and social realities, however important they may be;\textsuperscript{66} c) deep concern for life here on earth, for the shape and quality of life and of relationships between individuals and groups.\textsuperscript{67}

14. For the 32nd General Congregation ecumenism is a specific of a more generic attitude: of openness, humility and respect for others, which should characterize our entire apostolate.

The particular Church is the most important place for ecumenism, just as it is for dialogue with people of other living faiths and religious convictions.\textsuperscript{68} It is at the local level also that the spirit of ecumenism must find a concrete expression. And the ecumenical role of the particular Church is brought into clear focus when there is an understanding of the identity and nature of the local Church.\textsuperscript{69} The actual initiative for unity, therefore, has to come from the Church at ground level. Eventually it is the member Churches and the congregations, and even individual Christians, who must take the necessary steps.\textsuperscript{70}

According to Vatican II’s Decree on Ecumenism cooperation among all Christians should be ever increasingly developed, particularly in regions where a social and technical evolution is taking place.

“It should contribute to a just appreciation of the dignity of the human person, the promotion of the blessings of peace . . .”\textsuperscript{71}

In most Asian countries common witness together with our Christian brethren has to take the shape of a “dialogue of life” involving a genuine experience and understanding of the poverty, deprivation and oppression of the masses, and leading to a genuine commitment and effort to bring about social justice in our societies. This will include an operative and organized “action and reflection in faith”\textsuperscript{72} as a process towards the change and transformation of unjust social structures.

The answer to the question whether Church unity—an important aim also for our service of faith—still bears any meaning in view of the overwhelming pressure of the problem of the “secular oikoumene”, should be sought essentially in three domains: a) practical collaboration in secular service; b) solidarity and witness, service and mission combined, as it were, as integral accomplishments of our life according to
the Gospel; c) essential unity of Church and world, which makes any endeavor for inner Church unity superfluous. In all events, people still expressed the expectation that the world to which we Christians turn in solidarity and service may also become eventually the “theological point where the lost and sought unity of Christians may be found.”

Reflecting upon the situation in our East Asian countries we are aware that maybe the ecumenical movement is still regarded by many as an interecclesiastical affair to be settled between the Churches. Should not this attitude gradually be revised, so that people come to realize how much the ecumenical movement is related to the world? not because it is fashionable or convenient but because of its very foundation?

Ecumenism is not simply an internal Church issue, by which preference is given to the Christians’ own problems rather than to those of peace, justice, liberty and human rights. Especially in East Asia, where by far we are no more than a very small minority of the population, ecumenism for the sake of evangelization must bring us right to the heart of the problems of humanity.

And it is also true that ecumenism which starts merely as an interchurch concern ought to develop into ecumenism as service to human society. From doing things together we arrive at witnessing together. Ecumenism is not simply the sum total of all the things we do together but a way of being and living, which commits Christians and the Church to a process of renewal involving a change of heart, a summons to continual reformation and at the same time to an ever greater fruitfulness in our service to faith by promoting total human development in East Asia.

15. The process of building up the particular Churches in East Asia, in other words, the inculturation of Christian faith, inevitably requires an on-going dialogue with the Great Religions and other religious beliefs of the area. The decree on “Our Mission Today” bases this dialogue, which means “living together with other living faiths”, upon the mystery of Incarnation:

“For some Christian communities, especially those in Asia and Africa, this ‘economy of the incarnation’ calls for a more intensive dialogue with the heirs of the great non-Christian traditions.”

In this dialogue “we accept the great religious traditions of our peoples as significant and positive elements in the economy of God’s Design of salvation.” In them our bishops recognize and respect “profound spiritual and ethical meanings and values. Over many centuries ... they have been (and continue to be) the authentic expression of the noblest longings of their (i.e., of our ancestors and
our contemporaries) hearts, and the home of their contemplation and prayer. They have helped to give shape to the histories and cultures of our nations. How then can we not acknowledge that God has drawn our peoples to Himself through them?\textsuperscript{77}

“Only in dialogue with these religions can we discover in them ‘the seeds of the Word of God’. Dialogue will allow us to touch the expression and the reality of our peoples’ deepest selves, and enable us to find authentic ways of living and expressing our own Christian faith . . . It can become a sharing in friendship of our quest for God and for brotherhood among His sons. Finally, this dialogue will teach us what our faith in Christ leads us to receive from these religious traditions, and what must be purified in them, healed and made whole, in the light of God’s Word.”\textsuperscript{78}

Dialogue can also bring to other men, among other treasures of our Christian faith, the importance of the personal and social dimensions of salvation, the meaning of human history.\textsuperscript{79}

Some of the reflections presented during the Synod of 1974 are to the point. Those who preach the Gospel have to become ever more acquainted with other living faiths and their development. Dialogue is not merely a way of approaching them. It is a necessary condition for the service of faith. It means fidelity to the Spirit at work in other religious traditions. Dialogue must eventually lead people of other faiths towards Christ; it is not sufficient merely to make Muslims better Muslims. Dialogue has to go together with an entire way of life which gives testimony to the Gospel.

In his address to the Indonesian people on the occasion of his visit to Jakarta, December 3, 1970, Pope Paul VI quoted Ecclesiam Suam (1964) saying: “We acknowledge with respect the spiritual and moral values of the various non-Christian religions, for we desire to join with them in promoting and defending common ideals in the spheres of religious liberty, human brotherhood, teaching and education, social welfare, and civic order.”\textsuperscript{80}

In Thailand, dialogue is now effected by means of cooperation in social action. It is an ecumenical cooperation. Religious leaders, both Christian and Buddhist, are launching active projects for more human conditions. In the report he gave to the Meeting on Dialogue with Hinayana Buddhism held in Bangkok on May 17-19, 1974, Fr. John Ulliana, a Salesian missionary in Thailand, said that social welfare is a common field where we can all cooperate for the benefit of the people, giving both material and spiritual assistance.\textsuperscript{81}

In Japan, inter-religious dialogue is being carried out by collaborating for the good of society, for example, for the promotion of
human rights, for world peace, for the building of a better world, etc.  

In Taiwan and Hong Kong dialogue on the social level is chiefly carried out by the hierarchy and takes place in excellent co-operation with the Protestant churches.

We may also mention some of the positive results achieved at the Colombo Conference, jointly planned by a group comprising persons drawn from five Faiths (Christians, Hindus, Buddhists, Jews, Muslims), and held on April 17-26, 1974: a “provisional” but perhaps more realistic approach to the theme “world community”; the attention drawn to “the emergence of new models of society and their impact upon the fate and the role of religion”; particularly also the “feeling” that it might be “the special task of religious groups to stress the importance of the model of concrete local community as the first reality of world community, but also as the reality which mediates world community”; a closer evaluation of “the influence of religions and ideological resources upon socio-economic conditions and upon the quality of life in a given nation or community of nations.”

16. If the Church wants to dialogue with the peoples of East Asia, she will have to dialogue with the poor themselves, and to work not only for them but with them. For the majority are deprived of even the minimum resources and are oppressed, i.e., submitted to social, economical and political structures which have injustice built into them.

This encounter will “demand working, not for them merely (in a paternalistic sense), but with them, to learn from them (for we have much to learn from them!) their real needs and aspirations.”

Finally, “this dialogue leads to genuine commitment and effort to bring about social justice in our societies. In turn this will include an operative and organized action and reflection in faith’ (sometimes called ‘conscientization’) . . .”

“Engaged in tasks for justice in accordance with the spirit and the demands of the Gospel, we will realize that the search for holiness and the search for justice, evangelization and the promotion of true human development and liberation, are not only not opposed, but make up today the integral preaching of the Gospel, especially in Asia.”

Out of the numerous interventions made during the 1974 Synod on the secular aspect of the mission of the Church by bishops of the “West” (who often used the term “promotio humana”), of Asia and Africa (“development”), and of Latin America (“liberation”), may we choose a few thoughts of Cardinal Stephen Kim Sou Hwan of Seoul:
... what can and should be done in Asia today is gradually to impregnate all spheres of life in society — cultural, socio-economic, political, and the rest — with true Christian values through a personal and deeply committed witness of truth, justice and love. Such a commitment is an imperative of the hour, and is by no means peripheral or ancillary to what is called ‘direct evangelization’. It is not only a ‘constitutive’ dimension (Synod of Bishops 1971) of evangelization, but should be seen as the concrete realization of the Church’s sacramentality ...

To be truly the signum magnum credibilitatis the Church must become, and also “be convincingly seen and felt by the poor and the young in Asia, as a Church whose life is given in selfless dedication, even at grave risk to itself, to the great needs of mankind today ... If all this is true, how then are we to understand the attitude of the Church, in all too many instances, that cries foul when its position, institutions, vested interests are touched by outside forces, while remaining silent and inactive in the face of others being oppressed?”

17. All the main points aforesaid may be comprehensively summarized under one concern, namely, the building up of the local Churches in East Asia. Once again, as its consequence, it should be our concern, not to carry out our apostolic activities as though we as Jesuits were a group enclosed in ourselves. We are to integrate our mission into the mission of the whole People of God.

We will have to reflect on what in concreto this integration means for each Province in the Assistancy and for all of us together, while always bearing in mind that what we call “East Asia” as a matter of fact comprises an immense variety of peoples and cultures, which therefore calls for as great a plurality and creativity in the ways we implement our mission today.

The building up of the particular Church was already in Vatican II one of the most important doctrines. During the decade after the Council up to the third General Synod it has become the most actual issue and a matter of vital interest. The theology of the local Church has come to a greater maturity, thanks first of all to the experiences and the reflections of the Churches of the developing countries. This “breakthrough” may be considered an important “sign of the times” to our service of faith.

The Synod did not arrive at a clear-cut theological definition of the local Church, nor at a commonly accepted terminology.

The element most emphasized has been the socio-cultural integration of the local community of the faithful. This was for our
bishops the main concern. We agree with the African bishops in abandoning the term (and concept) "adaptation", and to speak rather in terms of "incarnation", that is, becoming a community of faith from within the socio-cultural life-situation. Christ has to be born anew within the local cultures.88

Socio-cultural integration, as the expression ("participation") of the mystery of Incarnation, requires our Churches free themselves from foreign types of Christian life and ways of thinking. It demands the spirit of initiative and creativity.

On the other hand, the remark was made during the last Synod, that the tendency towards overemphasizing the necessity of building up a truly inculturated particular Church ought to be counterbalanced by efforts towards an ever more intimate communion of faith of the universal Church. For without this koinonia no local Church can develop itself healthily. The bishops who so strongly stressed the identity and the autonomy of the local Church at the same time underlined the need of openness towards the universal Church and of unity with the Pope.89 In the same way as for Christ, the mystery of Incarnation means that the Son of God is present in human nature, not isolatedly as an individual; precisely by becoming man He unites Himself to all of us within the unity of mankind and has become our Brother.

Analogically this applies also to us as members of the Society. The greater the plurality in our apostolic activity, the more each of our Provinces has to read in spiritual discernment the signs of the times in order to determine the aims and objectives particular to the region, the stronger should be our koinonia in mission and spirituality.

This plurality — far from being a threat to our unity as Jesuits — should be a positive and creative sign that our unity is deeper than whatever the concrete technical analysis or viewpoints might show. The only condition should be that this plurality of action within the unity of our mission needs to be the result of serious discernment and study and of a deep concern for our people and not the casual result of oversimplification.

18. This deep awareness of plurality at that Synod was at least partly due to a new method of reflection, namely, a pastoral inductive existential approach starting from actual life situations, indispensable in the process of growth of the particular Church.

It should be a valuable thrust to our reflection on our Jesuit mission today that theology since Vatican II more and more concentrates upon "man and his world", "man in the historical context of his contemporary society." This new orientation means something more than merely what used to be called "faithfulness to Catholic doctrine", or "faithfulness to the Gospel and Christ."
The actual situation of present-day society, placed within the
divine universal salvific Will, is being more and more considered as
penetrated with the activity of God’s Spirit guiding the whole of
mankind; in other words, as a locus theologicus. Hence, the World
Congress of Theology on the Future of the Church, Brussels, September
12-17, 1970, describes theology as “a reflection of Christians upon
their faith and their Christian experience in a particular time and
culture. Hence only Christian communities, involved in the life of the
contemporary world, and taking active responsibility within their society
can fashion the theology of the future.”

This is precisely where spiritual discernment comes into play; it is
— to use Vatican II’s phrase — “the duty of scrutinizing the signs of
the times and of interpreting them in the light of the Gospel.” It is
only in a climate of prayer and true discernment that we shall find the
wisdom and the strength to act in conformity with the demands of our
mission. By the discernment of spirits “we will gain a deeper know-
ledge of the movements, aspirations, and struggles in the hearts of our
contemporaries, as well as those in the heart of mankind itself.”

Compared with Vatican II, the view of the last Synod on salvation
is still less ecclesiocentric, still more concentrated upon Christ; greater
stress was laid upon the guiding and animating activity of the Spirit
outside as well as within the visible boundaries of the Church. This is
of particular relevance in our countries, with their immense variety of
religious beliefs and traditions, with their many and grave social and
economic problems, where the community of our faithful is no more
than a very small minority. Since we truly have to immerse ourselves
in human society and try to leaven it from the inside, our impact
not only depends on how numerous we are but all the more on how
we are as Christians and as Jesuits. To what extent have the mean-
ings, the values, the attitudes, the way of life that come from the
Gospel been made real, authentic, consciously and in a personal way
interiorized among our faithful?

19. At the last Synod the “young” Churches felt themselves
already “fully fledged”. They stood at the same level as the Churches
of centuries-old Christianity. Whereas Vatican II in the wording of the
decrees and constitutions still reveals a “Western” point of view, in
Gaudium et Spes, for instance, and even in a decree such as Ad
Gentes, the 1974 Synod definitely has a broader and more pluralistic
outlook.

We may raise the question also whether to a certain extent this is
not true with regard to our last General Congregation as well: that its
documents have been conceived mainly in a Western framework,
for instance, the assumption that the concept of “justice” of the West
is a universal one, valid for all times and all cultures. We certainly
must re-think “justice” and “faith” in the light of Asian experience
and applied to present-day Asian realities.

— 22 —
Says Fr. J. Joblin, S.J., who recently travelled through Asia under the auspices of the International Labour Office:

"... the interpretation which a Westerner gives to them ('social justice' and 'human rights') does not necessarily correspond to the understanding that an Asian has of the values they cover ... One might ask, then, whether an Asian is not inclined to consider the Western concept of social justice and human rights as an intrusion which is blurring his vision of the world ... From the fact of the social system in which they are enclosed and of the cultural heritage which is their own, the Westerner and the Asian give a quite different content to the identical words they use to express their values..."

20. Within this historical context in all its complexity — precisely because we have dedicated ourselves to the service of faith within our local Churches—the problem raised in many postulata95 becomes the more relevant: what is the "identity" of the Jesuit nowadays? How is the "Ignatian charism", "the spirit of the Spiritual Exercises", to be lived concretely? How are the proper objectives of the Society to be reinterpreted for today and tomorrow?

While at the General Congregation the Commission on "criteria and orientations of our apostolate" was discussing this theme, some tension was still felt between the "deductive" method (starting from the Formula Instituti and the original "Ignatian inspiration") and the "inductive" method (to put it simplistically: starting from the reality of our apostolate), in order to find out how the Society wants us to be.96

It was only towards the end of the Congregaton that the Declaration "Jesuits Today" came about with the image of the Jesuit as a "man-to-be sent", n permanent availability for any mission. This man, born of a conversion, and this mission are inserted into the heart of the struggle of our contemporary world. His option is a meaning of life that in and by faith builds full justice among men: "Faith and justice are undivided in the Gospel which teaches that 'faith makes its power felt through love' (Gal. 5, 6). They cannot therefore be divided in our purpose, our action, our life."97

In our case the question should come up also: what exactly can our East Asian Assistancy meeting give as directives for our Jesuit life and apostolate, so that all and each one of us are really helped to live concretely the genuine Jesuit spirituality within our common mission, in our own life-situation, within the framework of the growth of our particular Churches? The very fact that we are sent to our fellow-men within present-day society, and the actual situation of our East Asian countries cannot be put aside in determining "the Asian Jesuit's identity" (if this common name still has any meaning).
The actual meaning of our mission and the relevance of the Society depend on whether we are able to bring to the fore that injustice is an important and most serious aspect of our peoples, and that indeed the promotion of justice, within an integral human development, is the priority of priorities.

PART THREE: THEOLOGICAL REFLECTION

“SERVICE OF FAITH BY PROMOTION OF JUSTICE”

21. The 32nd General Congregation stresses the religious and priestly character of our apostolic life. Since it wishes to be practical and inspiring, we need not get lost in the endless theological discussions concerning the many opinions on the meaning of priesthood and religious life.

In my modest opinion, however, a weakness of the Congregation was that with approximately 70 theologians present (i.e. almost 30% of all the participants) theological reflection was not given due attention, particularly with regard to priesthood and religious life and the relationship between the service of faith and the promotion of justice.

Yet the decree on “Our Mission Today” says:

“We must be more aware of the need for theological reflection, carried on in a context which is both interdisciplinary and genuinely integrated with the culture in which it is done. Only thus can it throw light on the main problems confronting humanity and the Church today . . .” (n.60).

Moreover, Jesuits as we are, an important contribution so much in keeping with our charism and tradition should be our involvement in the field of doctrine, in the ideas and over-all directives that can guide the Church and mankind in the search for solutions to the great problems of our times. A genuine pastoral attitude and pastoral activity are not opposed to profound theological reflection. On the contrary, in order to proceed in the right way with regard to serious and complicated problems, such as the questions of faith and justice in East Asia, a solidly founded theology of their actual meaning within our actual life situations is absolutely essential.

This would be a theology thought out from within the consciousness of Christians of developing countries, a theology whose perspective is post-colonial East Asia, a theology at the service of that creation of the new man and the new society, referred to in the Taipei “Statement and Recommendations” of our Asian Bishops.

Theologizing is a process of reflexive learning from whatever happens. At times it may be tentative and searching (as in this
paper); or also questioning and evocative of biblical themes that come to mind while trying to understand our situation. At heart this search is the expression of our desire to listen to the Spirit in all.

22. What significance do creative involvement in action for total human development and concern for the promotion of justice have for evangelization or the service of faith in East Asia? What relationship is there between historical activity for earthly prosperity and eternal life, liberation from hunger and liberation from sin, between faith and political action, between the building-up of this world and the Kingdom of God? In brief, between earthly well-being and our final fulfilment is there a homogeneity and continuity or a heterogeneity and discontinuity? Is salvation a blossoming of history and historical existence, or something which transcends history, which uproots man from history through death and uproots the cosmos through the parousia? Do we look for salvation in a flowing of nature or in the depths of failure and suffering? Do we come to God through nature's glory and man's ability to create and to give, or through hunger and disease and the experience of suffering and nothingness?

What actually does it mean when the decree on "Our Mission Today" says that the promotion of justice is "an absolute requirement" of the service of faith (n.2)? Or when according to "Jesuits Today" "the service of faith and the promotion of justice cannot be for us simply one ministry among others", but "must be the integrating factor of all our ministries... of our inner life as individuals, as communities, and as worldwide brotherhood"?

There is no meaning and no fruitful discussion if theology and social justice meet in theory, in the abstract, from above. Again, we need a theology that springs from Christian living in our actual being together with all men.

We sense a certain polarity in the relationship between service to faith and promotion of justice, a certain tension and dialectic. But it may well be that this tension is full of life and dynamic. In our service to faith and in the promotion of justice the Church is one salvific sacrament for all the human family. The next, more practical, question is, then, how we are going to integrate them with the East Asian situation and with the local circumstances of each country.

23. Let us start by recalling what the main Church documents from Vatican II on have to say.

a) Gaudium et Spes stresses especially three points: 1) the autonomy of the secular (art. 36); 2) the dignity of man (art. 26 cf. art. 3); 3) the solidarity of the Church with the entire human
family (art. 40; see also art. 3 and 4). In this way the call is given for a vital synthesis of all earthly commitments with religious values.

b) *Apostolicam Actuositatem* stresses similar aspects: 1) autonomy of the temporal order and the dignity of man (art. 1 and 7); 2) the renewal of the whole temporal order as part of the redemptive work of Christ and therefore of the Church’s mission (art. 5).

c) *Ad Gentes* explains — although from a different aspect — this opening of the Church to the world, to Christian witness of social justice and charity, to social engagement and development aid. Development work is not only the forefront of evangelization; it is not to be considered “before”, not even “concomitant” or “besides”, but “together”, “jointly”.

d) The Motu Proprio *Catholicam Christi Ecclesiam*, January 6, 1967, says that the Pontifical Commission on Justice and Peace aims at arousing the whole People of God to full awareness of its mission at the present time, in order, on the one hand, to promote the progress of poor nations and encourage international social justice, and, on the other, to help underdeveloped nations to work for their own development.

e) The Encyclical *Populorum Progressio*, March 26, 1967, proclaims the basic relations which exist between the Christian faith and the immense challenge of world development. The Church must fulfill her role in the long and arduous task of world development, in closest collaboration with our Christian brothers, with our fellowmen of other religions and with all men of good will, at the service of all the human family. There can be no true development unless progress is enlightened by the Gospel message, nor true evangelization unless it leads to a practical service of the People of God.

f) In the apostolic Letter *Octogesima Adveniens* to Cardinal Roy, the President of the Pontifical Commission on Justice and Peace and of the Laity Council, May 14, 1971, Pope Paul VI commits Christians especially to social and political engagement, with due respect for the plurality of situations, structures and possible positions and solutions.

24. The foundation of our theological reflection on our mission as Jesuits is the mystery of Incarnation, alluded to in “Our Mission Today”.

Within the context of God’s salvific Design tending towards the fullness of God’s Kingdom (comprising the whole man and all men), we shall reflect on the role of Christ and his Church, to whom as Jesuits we have committed ourselves very particularly. We shall consider what it means for us to live in solidarity with the poor and to
integrate ourselves into human society, so that all our efforts truly converge towards building up the particular Church.

There is a “spiritualistic” tradition which warns us that liberation and development, earthly well-being and human justice, are not the Kingdom of God. They are ambivalent and often lead men away from God. In the emphasis on social justice there lurks the danger of neglecting God to serve men, and of diverting the Church from its task of preaching Jesus Christ. Eschatology and the perspective of eternity relativise and de-emphasize the world and temporal prosperity. Involvement in social action and political struggle can scarcely be called Christian apostolate.

Human values of development and justice, on the other hand, are not realized in the abstract but within social and economic realities and concrete human relationships. And it is within these relationships that the service of faith is to be realized. It is essential to be convinced that there is a legitimate, even a necessary, horizontalization, since the Son of God became man, and the risen Lord is met and served in needy men.

Hence, the cause of human development and justice is our concern, not because injustices resulting from imbalance in the developmental process might lead to an explosion of violence, nor because Communism might take over and our countries might be lost to the “democratic” camp, nor even to ease our consciences and find relief in an uncomfortable situation.

We are interested because human development and liberation are intrinsic to human wholeness and salvation, essential to the incarnate Person of Jesus Christ and His risen life, to the love that lies at the heart of the Christian reality, to the rights and dignity of every human person and the human community. Salvation, having a personal and interior dimension, the total liberation of man, in order to be genuine would have to include the economic, social and political dimension.

Says the decree on “Our Mission Today”:

“The Christian message is a call to conversion: conversion to the love of God, which necessarily implies conversion to the love of men, which necessarily includes conversion to the demands of justice” (n.28).

Since salvation is concerned with all the aspects of human activity and involvement, our experience of it cannot be separated from these areas of life and from active engagement in them, so that they may be progressively humanized, liberated and enriched.

The history of salvation means that salvation takes place in
history. Hence, our service of faith, which is the proclamation of salvation, embodies itself in the events, aspirations, the signs of the times and the “historical contents” of the present process in East Asia. Our Christian message, if presented as an answer to the needs of our peoples, will announce freedom to reach God and freedom from personal enslavements. Again, it is a call to conversion in a faith committed to God, to man, and to the transformation of society, so that men will uphold the dignity of the human person, provide the opportunities for development and progress, and promote that quality of life enabling them to reflect their sonship in God.

In the spheres of the “secular” and the “profane” God and His Christ are active in the Spirit, expecting our “partnership” in the shaping and reshaping of human history and human destiny, our “participation” in His ongoing act of creation and re-creation. All God’s gifts are also our tasks. It is essential to defatalize history. Providence is not fate. And in the Christian vision there is no Providence of which we are not part.

25. Our service of faith requires us to avert people from “certain false images of God which prop up and give an aura of legitimacy to unjust social structures,” and from “ambiguous images of God which appear to release man from his unalienable responsibilities.” In certain regions in East Asia and within the atmosphere of certain religious beliefs this is very important. We have to help people to rediscover the true God, “who, in Jesus Christ, chose to share our human pilgrimage and make our human destiny irrevocably His own.”

As true as faith implies much more than justice and the deep causes and consequences of unbelief cannot be simply reduced to the social level, our problems in East Asia have roots that are not just social and technological but also personal and spiritual: “men are hungry, but hungry not for bread, but for the word of God.” Unbelief, contemporary theoretical and practical atheism, reveals itself as one of the main causes of injustice. While injustice, if it is tolerated and left unchallenged, generates new forms of atheism.

26. The God of love reveals Himself in His Son Jesus Christ. For any theology of development and promotion of justice, therefore, a christological foundation is essential.

In creating the world, God’s plan was to entrust to us a world to be built and brethren to be loved. In order to become images of God in Christ and through Christ, “the Image of theinvisible God” (see Col 1,15 and 2 Cor 4,4), we too must become co-creators.
Christ also came to reveal the sin and failure of human enterprises. He is the bearer of a message from a God Who reconciles all men. Christ is, moreover, Himself the supreme reconciliation. He recapitulates past history and opens up a new future for sinful humanity.

Hence, the Christian is one who follows Christ in announcing the reconciliation to be found even in the conflicts and ambiguities of human history. He is actively waiting for the Christ Who will come again. This Christian message of creation and reconciliation in our days has to be embodied in our efforts for human development and the promotion of justice.

The Christian—and in our considerations, the Jesuit—is one who has in his life come to know the person of Jesus Christ and His Gospel. He has been given some experience of the reality of the living Christ. And henceforth he meets his brother in a love and a concern that finds its foundation and energy within that faith and his experience of Christ. In his suffering brother he would encounter Christ, waiting for him to relieve him of his suffering and to be lifted up in the freedom of the children of God.

The Church of Christ the Incarnate must be a servant Church, *in forma servi*, like Christ Himself. Her mission primarily is a mission of service to mankind.

27. What are we then? A “Church for the poor”? Or a “Church for everyone”? We easily accept that God in Holy Scripture always appears to be on the side of the poor, the weak, the little ones, and that Jesus also particularly loved the poor.

He identified Himself with the people of His time and His country. He made not only their religious and spiritual interests His own but also their physical needs, their sicknesses, social injustice, “To identify oneself” does not only mean to look at something with sympathetic consideration, with compassion and understanding, but also to make it one’s own. And this does not only imply to understand and to share, but also to remedy, to help in creating better living conditions.

By reason of its participation in the Incarnation and as a communication of God’s love our development work is an integral part of the Church’s mission and, therefore, constitutive to our service of faith.

Yet at the moment one concludes that the Church—and we are that Church!—today should side with the poor not merely by words but by deeds, people begin to object that the Church is for everyone, rich as well as poor, and hence should not take sides with the poor and reject the rich.

Of course there is no tension whatsoever between the salvation of the poor and the salvation of all. God’s plan of salvation is for
all men. But the point is that because it is for all men, it has to consider especially the poor. Only when the “last ones” are saved, will the entire humanity be saved, like the ill in the Gospel. The poor should be the sign that salvation is for all.

Thus also J. Moltmann replies:

“The goal of Christian universalism can be realized precisely through the dialectic of siding with the humanity of the humiliated and oppressed. For to stand on the side of the poor is not to take a stance of enmity or hate against those who possess wealth and power among individuals, or human groupings, organizations, but rather to take issue with attitudes of selfishness and the structures which institutionalize egoism, against the politics and trade-systems which exploit the poor, against abuse of power over the lives of men. Christians and the Churches will not bring about reconciliation and peace by posing as a suprahistorical “meet-in-place for negotiation and peace.” Sub specie aeternitatis all worldly conflicts become relative and insignificant. To reconcile, finally, paradoxically enough, one must dialectically take sides, to achieve the purposes of justice and peace.”

Development work, the promotion of justice, is essentially a Christian witness of life and of deed. It speaks the profane language of the oppressed and the deprived in our countries. But, if understood in the Christian way, it is formed and experienced within a religious dimension, the dimension of the Gospel. The proclamation of the Word would remain empty and ineffectual, even incredible in a certain sense, without the witness of the deed, of concrete practical love and fellowship.

Since for the Church in East Asia poverty is a question of life or death, the lives Christian leaders live must be, in appearance and reality, close to the lives of the masses. In BISA II it was keenly felt that the Church should revise her own life style and institutions, in order to realize first within herself whatever she has to say about social justice. Without justice inside the Church our message will never be credible.

28. All the way through our theological reflection the mystery of Incarnation has been the underlying source and inspiration. Dialogue with our fellowmen in their actual life situations is as a matter of fact the way we live for ourselves “dialectically” the life of Christ Incarnate within us.

Our service to faith is that dialogue, since the Church is to evangelize the Asian “revolution”, and at the same time she is to be evangelized by the Asian “revolution”.

— 30 —
a) Let us start by asserting that in the context of that service
dialogue with adherents of other living faiths and religious traditions
is imperative in order to understand their deepest needs and aspira-
tions. Religions are the keystones of East Asian cultures. And
since God through His Spirit is guiding humanity towards its fulfil-
ment in Christ the new Man, “interreligious dialogue is the response
of Christian faith to God’s saving presence in the religious traditions
of mankind and the expression of the firm hope of the fulfilment of
all things in Christ.”117 Especially the Great Religions have be-
come transforming agents in the plurality of cultural and religious
situations of our countries, and at the same time they have been them-
selves transformed by the local cultures.

b) As it has often been stressed, we as the Church should
speak with a voice echoing the life of our continent. This is the
only way we may become an epiphany, a living proof that faith in
Jesus Christ can help our nations to build an East Asia which will
be truly human.

Hence, we all must participate in our common mission of
humanization, by being a constructive force, by becoming involved in
our society. We must also be prophets and have the courage to
proclaim genuine justice, which means taking sides with the deprived
majorities. Our cooperation with other agents of development implies
in itself that we should also provide a critical force with regard to
oppressive structures and institutions and be the intrepid defenders of
human values, of integrity and liberty. We should be concerned with
both “micro-evangelization” (individual conversions) and with “macro-
evangelization” (the reform of structures), well-balanced according to
the local circumstances.

c) At the same time, we must learn to listen to the people
among whom we live. Since everywhere we find ourselves confronted
with the guiding presence of the Spirit, God speaks to us through
events. This is why “the signs of the times” are the realm of our
apostolic discernment in the Spirit. The experience of East Asia’s
growth and development, with its failures and critical situations, is an
interpellation; it contains God’s Word addressed to us right now.118

Just like Israel did at certain moments in her history, our com-
unities are now passing through a period of repentance, individual
and collective, to prepare us—as Christians and as Jesuits—for a
radical transformation of our way of life. We may have to abandon
our role as defender—consciously or unconsciously—of the status quo,
and, impelled by the force of the Spirit, eventually become the pro-
phetic community of faith our countries need.

29. Mere goodwill and dedication are no longer enough. To
be able to identify the structures which obstruct the total develop-
ment of man, to look beyond the complex phenomena of society, and to recognize the forces which give logic to seemingly unrelated events, we must have the facts analyzed critically.

Spiritual discernment, although indispensable, cannot replace profound and serious study. This latter is required to understand and solve the economic, social and cultural problems of society. Neither is it sufficient to point out that sin is the deepest cause for oppressing structures and injustice. In order to find our way through this complex reality, we need the human sciences, no less than philosophy or theology.

Says Pope Paul VI:

"Mere denunciation, often too late or ineffective, is not sufficient. There must be an analysis of the deep-rooted causes of such situations and a firm commitment to face up to them and resolve them correctly."\textsuperscript{110}

First of all, scientific investigation is essential in order to clarify for ourselves which concrete forms of injustice we have to deal with in our countries, and what exactly in real life and by our people is meant by "justice", as an ideal to be realized.

Seen in the light of the problem of inculturation also, the patterns of a prosperous and just society for each of our countries are still to be devised. And for this purpose the free expression and the appreciation of cultural values at all levels of society, especially those of the most oppressed and voiceless, appear indispensable.

It is to be expected, therefore, that in our action for justice in the framework of serving our faith, there will be a multiplicity of responses, according to the particular life situations of each country.

All this is even more necessary, since the wording of "Our Mission Today" and other documents seems not specific or appropriate enough to be considered concrete directives for our specific apostolate in our regions.

Let us keep aware that in thus scrutinizing the signs of the times, and in faithfully responding to them in the light of the Gospel, i.e., in view of man’s total fulfilment in Christ, we are working on the "incarnation" of our faith in human society with the perspective of its full redemption at the end of times. We meet our fellowmen precisely where they are, i.e., in the very problems of their lives rightly understood. This encounter with man deep down in his "joys" and "hopes", but still more in his "grievs and anxieties", and particularly with "those who are poor or in any way afflicted",\textsuperscript{120} this exactly is what the Son of God meant to bring about by becoming man.
This is essential in our solidarity with the poor, which should be understood in a much deeper sense than merely "living among the poor." Here precisely we continue in ourselves the mystery of Christ Incarnate.

Says the decree on "Poverty":

"Voluntary poverty in imitation of Christ is a sharing in that mystery revealed in the self-emptying of the very Son of God in the Incarnation... The principle and foundation of our poverty, therefore, is found in a love of the Word made Flesh and crucified."\(^{121}\)

30. While considering human development in the framework of the history of salvation, and integrating the promotion of justice into our service of faith, we have been led to the conclusion that in fulfilling our mission as Christians, and particularly as Jesuits, ultimately the mystery of Incarnation and Redemption present in the Church and in each of us is truly at work.

This is as a matter of fact none other than part of the process of the inculturation of our faith,\(^{122}\) of a renewed Incarnation of the Word of God, and we may even say, a renewed *kenosis* of the Son of God in a humanity that still suffers from the miseries of poverty and deprivation.

"We have a duty to ensure that our ministry is directed toward incarnating the faith and life of the Church in the culture and traditions of the people among whom and with whom we work and, at the same time, toward communion with all who share the same Christian faith."\(^{123}\)

This, identically, is the process of building up the local Churches in our countries.

Actually this implies a cultural exchange, a giving and a receiving.

"Neither the culture of the missionary nor that of the 'missionized' is perfect. Both have their values. Both are limited. Certainly both have complementary and mutually enriching aspects.... there is no such thing as culturally pure local Churches whose Christianity would be the result of their 'locality' alone without any external influences...." In the Third World "this interchange and mutually beneficial enrichment has been radically ambiguous since the process historically took place within a colonial context of cultural domination, which we are still trying to correct."\(^{124}\)
PART FOUR: SOME MORE PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR OUR APOSTOLATE

31. Pope Paul VI says in his Apostolic Letter Octogesima Adveniens, May 14, 1971:

"It is not enough to recall principles, state intentions, point to crying injustices and utter prophetic denunciation, these words will lack real weight unless they are accompanied for each individual by a livelier awareness of personal responsibility and by effective actions."\(^{125}\)

Now that we have pictured in brief the East Asian scene, presented some facts and reflections on the Church in our countries, and outlined an attempt at theological reflection on faith and justice, we still have to reflect upon our specific mission as Jesuits, challenged as we are by the urgent needs and aspirations of our peoples.

And although it will not be easy to arrive at very detailed common directives towards concrete actions to be taken, because of the almost unsurveyable plurality of peoples and cultures, can we not at least formulate some more practical orientations?

32. I. Scientific research and analysis of our problems.

How are we to contribute to thoroughly scientific investigation on the actual meanings and existential forms of “faith”, “unbelief”, “justice”, “injustice”, etc., in the historical and local context of our apostolic mission in our own region?

How is the necessary theological reflection to be carried on in a context, both interdisciplinary and genuinely integrated into the cultures of our region, since only thus can our reflection throw light on the main problems confronting humanity, the Church and ourselves today?\(^{126}\)

How can the process of evaluation and discernment be brought to bear principally on the identification and analysis of the problems concerning the service of faith by the promotion of justice, and the review and renewal of our apostolic commitments?\(^{127}\)

We cannot jump from our faith experience to the concrete decisions of social action without due technical investigation and due account of the ideologies under whose influence we are living.

BISA II’s considerations led towards concentrating our attention on specific social issues and problems, for instance, the problems of workers, youth, the rural margined, population, political and social situations.\(^{128}\)
II. Reform of Structures.

a) Although injustice must be attacked at its roots in the heart of man, by eradicating those attitudes and habits which bring forth the structures of oppression,¹²⁹ we must be convinced that we shall fall short by merely trying to handle individual cases of injustice and to solve particular problems of oppression.

The decree on “Our Mission Today” says:

“It is becoming more and more evident that the structures of our society are among the principal formative influences in our world, shaping men’s ideas and feelings, shaping their most intimate desires and aspirations; in a word, shaping man himself. Hence, to work for the transformation of these structures according to the Gospel is to work for the spiritual as well as the material liberation of man, and is thus intimately related to the work of evangelization.”¹³⁰

What structural changes will have to take place in our countries, in order that we may achieve in the future a more just human society, or at least a less unjust society?

But not only in human society is transformation of oppressive structures called for. Have we not to question ourselves as well, whether certain structural changes should not be brought into Church life? What about our Jesuits’ community life in its actual situation? with regard to our institutions (education, social apostolate, etc.)?

b) We have to take into consideration that the reform of structures requires cooperation and solidarity with other groupings and institutions concerned with them or involved in them.

Again the decree on “Our Mission Today”:

“Our involvement will be apostolic to the extent that it leads us to a closer collaboration with local churches, Christians of other denominations, believers of other religions, and all who hunger and thirst after justice…”¹³¹

We also have to think of the possibilities of cooperating with government institutions for social welfare.

Church cooperation with government institutions in social action programmes rarely proceeds without some disagreement on ideology, objectives, the nature of programmes, methods, priorities, etc. There is at times the risk of compromising the Church’s principles and her autonomy of action.

On the other hand, this may be the very touching point, where the Church can come in with her prophetic function, particularly
with regard to what is going on in the social field, e.g., family planning programme.

Which groups or institutions have we to come in contact with in view of the transformation of structures? How are we to achieve this cooperation with them to bring about structural changes, in human society, in the Church, favourable to human development and the promotion of justice?

34. III. Handling particular cases of injustice.

Although theoretically speaking it appears imperative to reform structures, actually they are very hard to change! Merely having this purpose in mind, maybe concretely speaking not very much will happen. Structural changes require an ongoing struggle of concerted forces.

Generally speaking, people are only willing to take part in efforts for the promotion of justice, when it really concerns them and they happen to be themselves the victims of injustice. We have to tackle as best we can these concrete cases of injustice (and not just bypass them in silence!).

Working for the transformation of unjust structures in general seems to meet Ignatius' desire that his companions would go wherever there was hope for the more universal good. This, however, does not imply "that we can ever afford to neglect the direct apostolate to individuals: to those who are the victims of the injustice of social structures...".

What are the concrete cases of injustice we are confronted with at present?

35. IV. Cultural liberation.

Although problems of injustice deserve priority among our concerns, it is not merely justice that is at stake but actually the entire socio-cultural life of East Asian nations.

For our developing countries one of the most disastrous consequences of economic aid, as its effects also social and political dependence, eventually is cultural dependence, and at times even an alienation from their own cultural values.

We have to ponder seriously to what extent our countries in their process of development are still culturally dependent, e.g., recognizing the following symptoms:

1. "The adoption of development patterns which are alien or unsuited to the social cultural reality of our peoples. Mainly through the mass media, such models are imposed by the 'developed' countries
for the benefit of their economic imperialism. Such an imposition fosters pseudo-values, foreign to the local culture, and activates frustrating and alienating aspirations.”

2. “...there is an ideological-cultural imposition accompanying the imposed model of development. Even in institutions which consider themselves popular, the peculiar way of the people is not being sufficiently discerned.”

3. All these pressures may force our people into life styles both inconsistent and alienating. Their authentic values are not appreciated, become obsolete and the end result is a loss of cultural and national identity.

No less important than the reform of structures, therefore, is cultural liberation, or at least the effort to prevent the development in our peoples of a cultural alienation.

Here a special task awaits us. There is nothing more sensitive about a culture than the growth of its own values through self-criticism and self-transformation. How do we help our peoples in passing from a mentality which is uncritical and conformist to a creative attitude which keeps in contact with its own authentic cultural patterns, while they remain conscious and critical of every subtle form of cultural alienation?

Through the values which Christianity transmits in the service of faith it possesses the ethical ingredient for a true cultural development. How then should we help our fellowmen towards the attitude of taking up their own changes and accepting their historical vocation as their own task and project, while they use their own values as the point of departure? How to find the right language to preach the Gospel? and to stimulate those values? and to instill that vocation to develop the creativity of a culture in the light of faith? Because the Gospel is not tied to any particular cultural form, it is capable of accompanying the development of culture from within, unifying it without alienation.

Let us bear in mind that this encounter between Christianity and culture should be a dialogue in its true sense.

Our East Asian cultures, impregnated with many religious values, have something to offer, more or less, in making actual the rich potentialities of the saving message of Christ.

However, when they come in contact with the saving message of Christ, they need to pass through the Paschal Mystery. They have to be saved not just in the sense of being preserved, but also in the sense that they have to die and be reborn.
An equally important aspect of the relationship of culture to Christianity in the evangelization process is the fact that because culture becomes man’s “second nature”, the saving word of Christ can only reach him as a culturally defined individual, to the degree it is clothed in and refers to his culture.

36. V. The process of “conscientization”.

Our reflections lead us to the conclusion that particularly in developing countries like ours conscientization is absolutely necessary.

Where the decree on “Our Mission Today” provides us with guidelines for concerted action, it stresses “the conscientization according to the Gospel of those who have the power to bring about social change.”

Conscientization is not merely a prise de conscience, a taking awareness, spontaneously and ingenuously, of reality. It goes deeper; it is the critical development of that prise de conscience, the further deepening of that spontaneous apprehension of reality. Then this becomes a knowable object. Man takes an epistemological stance and tries to know. It is a probing of the ambiance, of reality, where man gets at the phenomenal essence of the object he stands in front of, in order to analyze it.

Conscientization implies an historical awareness, an historical commitment, a critical insertion into history, in order to create history, in order to make and remake the world. When man realizes he is oppressed, he also knows he can liberate himself if he transforms the concrete situation where he finds himself oppressed. Thus a critical insertion into a process is implied, an historical commitment to make changes: the acts of denouncing the dehumanizing structure and announcing the structure that will humanize integrally, in the Christian sense. And only those who are permanently committed to a radical process of transforming the world so that man can be more, only they can be prophetic.

Conscientization is a seizing and a reshaping of reality. It demythologizes; it strips reality down so as to get to know the myths that deceive and perpetuate the dominating structure.

Conscientization demands an Easter, requires that we die to be born again. And each of us has to give his witness of that death and rebirth. Conscientization is a summons to do that; to be each day new in Christ.

Not only do we have to conscientize other people; we ourselves, as religious and Jesuits, need conscientization by integrating ourselves into the human community. Says M. de Mesa, S.V.D.:
“The integration phase of conscientization is essential especially for religious who might have the illusion of being able to conscientize one another. Conscientization takes place only when one is among the people. For one to experience the resurrection with the people, he must incarnate himself in the people. And it is there where the passion and death of self-serving values and resurrection of mutual service of community occur.”¹⁴³

The process of conscientizing people in the context of our service of faith cannot be restricted to the human social sphere.

BISA II: “We would like to work out at every level of our Christian life and our Christian community a process by which we all deepen this awareness in our common striving for the total salvation of man. This is a complex process of reflection and action under the challenge and direction of the Word of God as it lives and grows in the Church and history.”¹⁴⁴

BISA III itself applied as its methodology the process of conscientization, namely, exposure to reality, social analysis, theological reflection and commitment to action, but found it a rather painful process, especially in the area of analysis and commitment to action.¹⁴⁵

What, actually, does conscientization imply in our countries? Who are to be conscientized and in what sense? How are we to keep this process of conscientization going? How are we to overcome the barriers of certain traditional cultural patterns?

37. VI. Service of faith by promoting justice at the local level.

We have first of all to be concerned about service of faith by promotion of justice at the local level. It is by no means sufficient to restrict our activities (initiatives, programming, implementation) to the “top-level” by conferences and seminars, such as our Assistancy meeting is doing right now.

Surely it is almost impossible to create anything fertile if facts are simply produced in headlong flight from serious reflection. And now that the promotion of justice requires an interdisciplinary approach, this reflection should be carried on in consultation with real experts. Yet many are now inclined to think that facts have mostly to precede theory. And these facts cannot be gathered simply at the top but only at the base.

In other words, practice does not just follow the discovery of principles as something secondary. But rather it forms an essential part of this discovery itself, which follows rather the inductive existential rather than the deductive way. Just as the local Church is not
merely the lowest shading of the universal Church but rather the immediate and concrete realization of the Church, likewise local efforts on behalf of development are not just “consecutive implementations” of centralized, top-level international programming but rather an original form of developmental activities. Such local efforts will even provide new starting points for deeper reflection and deeper insights.

It is not far-fetched that a gulf may arise between the work done by “officials” — like we perhaps are — who are engaged in dialogue on rather lofty matters of “existential applications” and “principles” on the one hand, and, on the other, those involved in practical action at the local level, who have to deal with the needs of everyday life. These two streams at times tend to misunderstand each other and level accusations at each other. The first mentioned think that those at the “grass-roots” may not have the education required to involve themselves professionally in social activities, and that these not rarely are marked by ambivalence and confusion. The others say that those at the “top” are frequently engaged in theoretical discussions, rather abstract, and that their theories have not very much to say to the realities of present-day life.

The question now arises how we are to prevent that gulf forming between what is being achieved here in our Assistancy meeting, and the challenge of actual life situations at the local level. How are we going to share with them the results of this conference? How are we to meet the very concrete and practical cases of injustice?

On the other hand, it is equally true that efforts at the local and even national level will prove ineffective, because problems, and even more so the facts of injustice and underdevelopment, also depend on international structures and relationships. Thus our local situation cannot be coped with separated from the social situation of other countries. In these matters of justice and development the Society may be called indeed to apostolate and activities on a universal and worldwide scale.146

38. VII. The dimension of faith in the cause of justice.

In order to carry out our mission, it is not enough to be engaged in social activities. We are not just “social workers”! Not each and every kind of work for development is part of the mission and salvific function of the Church, but only development work and social engagement which come from a true and fundamental motivation in the light of the Gospel, with a real Christian dimension.

In order that our efforts in promoting justice deserve to be called “apostolate” and actually mean “service to faith”, they must be directed to helping our fellowmen, so that in one way or another they come closer to the Lord of salvation. In other words, development must
become integrally human. And justice must be promoted so that our fellowmen enjoy the freedom of heart to find God.\textsuperscript{147}

The right accent and the appropriately balanced relationship between our activities in the cause of justice and “direct” service of faith remain a problem. It is a task ever new given us. It must always be solved anew according to local and regional situations. There are not even universal principles. Too many factors are involved, such as, for instance, the degree and urgency of the needs, of under-development, etc.

Taking into consideration, of course, the opportunities offered in each country, and never losing sight of the greater good, at times we may have to speak out in prophetic witness, while we face various forms of injustice or oppression in the social, political and economic orders.\textsuperscript{148}

And since the Church must have the possibility and opportunity for inspiration, animation and participation, it is quite in conformity with our Jesuit mission to become experts in bringing in, explaining and formulating this inspiration to agents of development in our countries, the great majority of whose population is not Christian.

How are we to communicate this inspiration as a human and civic responsibility and participation, but founded as well, if not upon strictly Christian faith, then at least upon “belief in the merciful God who wishes us all to be saved”, so that not only Christians but adherents of other beliefs and religions may equally understand?

Admitted that the Church should never abandon the preaching of the Kingdom for the proclamation of a merely human liberation,\textsuperscript{149} and granted that her contribution to liberation will never be complete and perfect unless she preaches salvation in Jesus Christ,\textsuperscript{150} not everywhere is it opportune or even feasible to preach Christ directly.

It depends largely on local circumstances how in dialogue with people of other living faiths and religious traditions we are to aim at giving testimony to faith and to guide people to an ever more genuine belief in God, which is not necessarily the same as explicit Christian faith in Jesus Christ.

It is good to realize how dialogue can be seen by people of other faiths, especially Muslims.\textsuperscript{151} Sometimes dialogue is considered by them as a new strategy of the Catholic Church in the new post-colonial epoch. The non-Christians often do not believe in the sincerity of dialogue and are suspicious of the missionaries who want to work with them.\textsuperscript{152}

39. VIII. Our priestly apostolate.

The decree “Jesuits Today” emphasizes that the Society of Jesus
in its entirety is a sacerdotal society, a band of ordained ministers of the Gospel (n. 22). This is its distinguishing mark: to be a companionship at once and the same time “religious, apostolic, sacerdotal, and bound to the Roman Pontiff by a special bond of love and service.”\textsuperscript{153}

“The mission of the Society today is the priestly service of the faith: an apostolate whose aim is to make men more open toward God and more willing to live according to the demands of the Gospel.”\textsuperscript{154}

Facing the immense vastness of our developing countries and the wide range of their innumerable social problems, almost all urgent, we may well feel clearer than ever how “powerless” we are. Obviously we cannot take upon ourselves all of the burden of solving them. We have to make a choice, in accordance with our priesthood.

Considering this priestly character of our Order, it may be particularly suitable to fulfill our prophetic function not only as experts, but as enriched by our faith experience and enabled to guide our faithful in living the Gospel amidst human society with its actual problems; to give inspiration, animation and direction in the light of faith to those involved in efforts to promote justice.

Let us recall what the Bishops’ Synod of 1971 said:

“Together with the entire Church, priests are obliged, to the utmost of their ability, to select a definite pattern of action, when it is a question of the defence of fundamental human rights, the promotion of the full development of persons and the pursuit of the cause of peace and justice.”\textsuperscript{155}

According to the same Synod, priests should help the laity to devote themselves to forming their consciences rightly. Now it is the special obligation of the laity to take on the renewal of the temporal order. Vatican II’s Decree on Lay Apostolate says:

“Led by the light of the Gospel and the mind of the Church and motivated by Christian love, let them act directly and definitely in the temporal sphere. As citizens they must cooperate with other citizens, using their own particular skills and acting on their own responsibility”, (art. 7).

All organizational and technical, and also leadership, roles, wherever possible, should be reserved to lay people and taken away from the priests. Are not a right confidence in lay people and a courage for new venture and experimentation too often lacking amongst us?

Should qualified lay people, a certain elite, not yet be available, or available in sufficient numbers, would it not be appropriate for us as
priests to direct our main attention towards the finding and training of expert lay people?

The exact possibilities and limits of priestly action in defence of fundamental human rights and justice are not clearly defined. This point evidently is connected with the reflection that is now going on concerning the meaning of the priestly ministry itself.

No matter what though, as priests we are not to remain indifferent to the struggle for human rights. Here we have a vital role to play.

40. IX. Our testimony of faith as religious.

We have to reflect also on how we have to give witness as religious in our service of faith by promoting justice. Our consideration should not remain mere words and pious reflections. We all have to commit ourselves to make them real in our lives and responsibilities.

The 32nd General Congregation confesses that we ourselves share in the blindness and injustice of our age. We stand in need of being evangelized, in order to know how to meet Christ as He works in the world through the Spirit. We are sent to contemporary East Asia, whose needs and aspirations are an appeal to the Gospel which it is our mission to proclaim.156

The East Asian scene, as sketchily outlined in Part I, invites us to be more than ever what we should always be by our religious vocation. By our lives and our apostolates we must be for human society a perpetual reminder of its supernatural vocation.

In order to produce this awareness, there must be “a constant interplay between experience, reflection, and the decision or action that follows from these. The aim is to ensure a change in our habitual patterns of thought as well as a conversion of heart so that apostolic decisions can be taken, tested in action, and evaluated from experience, all in the context of reflective prayer in accordance with our ideal of the ‘contemplative in action.”157

Our lives, our communities, our religious poverty can and should have a meaning and convey a message to our countries, which suffer from “massive, dehumanizing poverty, not only material but spiritual as well.” A message also for men of good will “working for a social order of greater justice and the abolition of oppressive structures.” A message for all, rich and poor, who “are duped into placing man’s whole happiness in the consumption” of material goods and their enjoyment, which verge on a practical atheism.158

We shall not be able to hear the “cry of the poor”,159 unless we have more personal experience of the miseries and distress of the poor. It will be difficult particularly in developing countries to advance effectively the cause of justice and human dignity, if the greater part of
our ministry identifies us with the rich and powerful. Our life will be no witness to a new and eternal life redeemed by Christ, if individually or corporately we are seen to be attached to earthly things, even apostolic institutions, and to be dependent on them. Our communities will have no sign value for our times, unless they are clearly seen to be communities of charity and of concern for each other and all others.360

“Through a humble service, we will have the opportunity to help the poor find, at the heart of their problems and their struggles, Jesus Christ living and acting through the power of the Spirit. Thus we can speak to them of God our Father who brings to himself the human race in a communion of true brotherhood.”161

Since in Part III we based our theological reflection on the mystery of Incarnation, let us recall also that our last General Congregation understands “voluntary poverty in imitation of Christ” as “a sharing in that mystery revealed in the self-emptying of the very Son of God in the Incarnation.”162

According to the Religious Superiors of Indonesia a lack or failure of ours to live out brotherhood and justice may manifest itself in the following:

a) a style of life inclined to become consumptive and worldly in spirit, so that we forget about our fellowmen who for the most part live simply and even in extreme poverty;

b) a style of work often emphasizing our own needs so much that we do not show sufficient concern for the rights of others, for instance, in providing proper wages for our workers and the opportunity for them to become independent;

c) our way of life amongst people, which is inclined to value man on the basis of his position in society, his education and his wealth, and not on the basis of personal dignity, so that those who are poor and powerless are often left alone.163

Says the decree on “Poverty”:

“The standard of living of our houses should not be higher than that of a family of slender means whose providers must work hard for its support.” (n. 7)

“Our Mission Today” also points out, that “the methods we employ should express the spirit of the Beatitudes.” (n. 33)

Our apostolic activities intend to communicate Christian faith on the basis of a commitment for the promotion of social justice. It is
within the heart of this engagement, that our service of faith is to be lived. It is from there that we will strive to proclaim our faith.

Yet this is not enough. In this building of social justice we should take as our starting point an option for the poor, the oppressed, the despised races, the marginal cultures. By attempting from there to build social justice we take a "conflictive" position in history.

In fact, to build social justice on the basis of those who today live in misery and are exploited means a radical change of history. It is from this point that we intend to participate in this construction. It is for this reason that we speak of liberation also.164

Again, we share our faith with our fellowmen through gesture and word. It is our life style that gives a sense to our service of faith. This testimony of our lives is the "locus" for verification of our activities in the cause of justice; a verification also of our faith, not just before others, but also before ourselves. To communicate the Word without seeking to live the Gospel is an incoherence. To live the Gospel without proclaiming the Word is to mutilate it.

41. X. Ongoing evaluation of our apostolic activities.

"Our Mission Today" says:

"...we must undertake a thoroughgoing reassessment of our traditional apostolic methods, attitudes, and institutions with a view to adapting them to the changed conditions of the times and to a world in process of rapid change." (n. 9)

Service of faith in our developing countries, our contribution towards total human development of human society, while taking the promotion of justice as the priority of priorities, and all this considered within the setting of building up the local Churches in East Asia, require that we exercise a permanent critique on our apostolic activities. This ongoing evaluation of ourselves is the more necessary, since — mostly perhaps unconsciously and even with the best intentions — there is a permanent proneness for this service to identify itself with the dominant cultures and the existing ideologies, and to take traditional unjust structures for granted.

Moreover, says "Our Mission Today":

"Too often we are insulated from any real contact with unbelief and with the hard, everyday consequence of injustice and oppression. As a result, we run the risk of not being able to hear the cry for the Gospel as it is addressed to us by the men and women of our times". (n. 35)165

As much as we have to live for ourselves the mystery of Christ's Incarnation by immersing ourselves within human society, with its
problems of misery and deprivation, we have to bear in mind, that Christianity

"communicates the ultimate and profound sense of the dignity and value of peoples and cultures by revealing the presence of Christ in each brother and of the 'seeds of the Word' in each culture . . . in proclaiming that the salvation of men — and of cultures — takes place in history, it communicates to the peoples the fundamental reason for their historic responsibility, bringing them to a dynamism of growth and commitment."166

There is a particular point for those of us who work in a cultural milieu different from that of the country of their origin. There may be a tendency to communicate one's own ideals and cultural values as if these were necessarily linked with Christianity. A certain form of "conversion", of kenosis (similar to the kenosis which is Christ's Incarnation) then is needed.

There is also the opposite "temptation". We may completely abandon some precious values of tradition and understand evangelization, our service of faith, as an acculturation so radical, that we may end up simply assuming the defects, certain "structures", of the culture to which we are sent. And we may do this without bringing any prophetic and questioning element. In other words, the danger is that our cultural incarnation may eliminate the ultimate reason for our being sent in the service of the Word.

These are some reasons why a permanent critique, an ongoing assessment of our attitudes and our activities, really is necessary.

42. XI. The mystery of the Cross.

From the theological point of view, one element still cannot be overlooked. Just as Christ Himself has gone through His passion to His resurrection, likewise the Church, — we may as well say the whole of mankind — has to participate in the mystery of the Cross.

So far we have stressed that we may not surrender to injustice and to structures of oppression, and that we have to take pains in order to arrive at a more just society.

Realistically speaking, however, we often feel powerless, and our struggle seems endless. At times we do not even know where to start. And perhaps we shall already have to be thankful, if there can at least be less injustice in the world.

What actually has the mystery of the Cross to say to us?

Is not its message also that in solidarity with our peoples we have to take this cross of our times upon us; that we have to suffer
from the power of injustice, to the extent that we are not able, despite all out energy and all the efforts we have put into the cause of justice, to overcome the misery in our countries?

Have not we to experience also that salvation in the Risen Lord is not something we owe to our human endeavors? But that salvation, in its fully human sense of conformity to Christ the New Man, still is and always remains God's grace, a gratuitous Gift of God's mercy, bestowed upon His people?

**EPILOGUE**

43. At the end of our reflections upon our service of faith in East Asia, we may ask ourselves to what extent there has been so far coherence between our ideals and our concrete achievements, between the challenge of the life situation in our countries and what we have actually contributed so far towards the solution of problems, between words and deeds.

Some of the causes of the lack of coherence, no doubt, are almost impossible to avoid. But there may have been factors that to some extent depend on ourselves.

Often we do not feel the urgency of passing on to action, of faithfully responding to the very needs and aspirations of our deprived peoples, because our awareness of the gravity and extensions of the problems of our society, of its unbelief and injustice, and of the urgent need of solving them, is not sufficiently deep and reflex. Perhaps it all has been more “conceptual” than truly “experienced” and personally lived.

Moreover, we are not speaking of an awareness on a purely human level, acquired in the light of reality objectively known and seriously studied. Needed is a deep awareness acquired in a context of faith, in a climate of prayer and spiritual discernment, an awareness that can help us to free ourselves from all the obstacles, internal and external, from all the conditions, personal, collective and institutional, that affect our very perception of reality in faith, and consequently also our apostolic options.

May this paper be of some help towards deepening that awareness, so that the Spirit may give us to understand what in concreto our apostolic mission is in contemporary East Asia.
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“. . .our efforts on the social and structural level are not sufficient in themselves. Injustice must be attacked at its roots in the heart of man by eradicating these attitudes and habits which bring forth the structures of oppression”, OMT, n. 32.
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